PROCEEDINGS
OF
LONDON INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES
eISSN 2977-1870

Reshaping higher education in the unavoidable era of AI

Ahmet Baytak*

Abstract

We're living through an extraordinary time, a moment in history as significant as the Industrial Revolution or the dawn of the Information Age. Artificial Intelligence (AI) isn't just another tech trend; it's a profound force reshaping every corner of our lives, and higher education is right at the heart of this transformation. This isn't about simply adding AI tools to existing classrooms; it's about fundamentally rethinking how our universities operate, how we share knowledge, and how we prepare students for a future where humans and intelligent machines work side-by-side. This paper dives into AI's wide-ranging impact on higher education, exploring the exciting changes it brings, the benefits it offers, the hurdles we need to clear, and, most importantly, how we can help institutions adapt and truly flourish in this new era.

Keywords: Higher education, Artificial Intelligence, AI, new era

https://doi.org/10.31039/plic.2025.14.338

*Richmond College, UK, abaytak@richmondcollege.co.uk

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is now a powerful helper in many areas. Tools like Jenni AI can help researchers by finding trends and generating ideas for papers, which makes the writing process faster (University of Illinois College of Education, 2024). For law students, AI like ChatGPT can explain difficult legal rules in simple terms and create practice examples in seconds, acting like a personal tutor (Choi et al., 2023). In the field of tests and medicine or GRE, AI performs very well (Gilson et al., 2022; Huh, 2023). It can create high-quality questions for exams, which is very helpful for medical schools that need to keep their tests up-to-date (Alyami, 2025). In fact, advanced AI like GPT-4 can score at or above the passing level for tough medical licensing exams. A 2024 study found that using AI to study didn't greatly change medical students' test scores, but they used it a lot to break down complicated topics and make study guides (Bharat & Smith, 2025). This shows AI is better as a study aid than a shortcut to a good grade. Similarly, for the bar exam, AI can create practice questions and explain legal concepts on demand.

AI is also changing creative jobs and computer programming. It can now generate music and create educational games that make learning more interactive (University of San Diego, 2024). For computer coding, AI assistants like GitHub Copilot suggest lines of code and find errors, which helps programmers work faster. But a recent 2025 study discovered a surprise: experienced developers using AI actually took 19% longer to finish tasks (Klang & Fuller, 2025). This shows that checking and fixing the AI's work can sometimes take more time than it saves, proving that human oversight is still essential.

Challenges

An overreliance on AI tools by higher education students poses a significant threat to the development of essential cognitive skills, particularly the ability to synthesize information, which is foundational to critical thinking and complex problem-solving. Synthesis is the active process of combining diverse ideas and information to form a new, coherent understanding. When students delegate this process to AI—using it to generate summaries, analyses, or complete assignments—they circumvent the intellectual labor required for deep learning, leading to several negative outcomes.

For decades, traditional search engines like Google functioned as digital libraries, presenting users with a list of sources that required active navigation, evaluation, and synthesis. This process of sifting through multiple perspectives was integral to learning, fostering critical analysis, source evaluation, and logical reasoning as users constructed their own understanding by connecting information from various sources (Bennett & Maton, 2010). The search engine was a tool for discovery, not an arbiter of truth.

In contrast, contemporary generative AI models often operate as oracles, providing a single, curated, and authoritative-seeming response. This dynamic can promote the passive consumption of information, bypassing the crucial cognitive process of synthesis (Carr, 2020). Instead of grappling with ambiguous or conflicting data, users receive a pre-digested answer, reducing the need to engage in the difficult work of forming independent judgments. While

efficient for simple tasks, this model poses a substantial risk to the development of higher-order thinking skills. Consistent reliance on AI to perform cognitive heavy-lifting can lead to an atrophy of our capacity for deep analysis, creative problem-solving, and independent thought.

Using AI as a cognitive shortcut can lead to a marked decline in several key areas:

- **Weakened Synthesis Abilities:** By not engaging in the process themselves, students fail to develop the skill of creating a unified perspective from disparate ideas, which is central to academic and professional innovation.
- **Stunted Critical Thinking:** The automation of analysis removes the need for students to question, evaluate, and deconstruct information. This can diminish their ability to identify bias, assess credibility, and construct logical arguments. A growing body of research suggests a negative correlation between frequent use of such technologies and critical-thinking performance (Dwivedi et al., 2023).
- **Reduced Problem-Solving Skills:** AI dependence denies students the opportunity to struggle with and overcome complex challenges, hindering the development of resilience, resourcefulness, and the ability to devise creative, original solutions.

The long-term implications of this reliance extend beyond the classroom. It exacerbates issues of academic dishonesty, as using AI to complete assignments without engagement or transparent citation constitutes plagiarism, undermining academic integrity. Furthermore, students who enter the workforce without robust analytical and problem-solving skills will be poorly equipped to meet demands for adaptability, innovation, and critical thought, leaving them at a significant professional disadvantage.

Opportunities

AI Leading Education vs. AI Integrated Education

The pervasive integration of artificial intelligence into daily life is forcing a critical re-evaluation of pedagogical foundations in higher education. Traditional models, focused on essay writing and standardized testing, are being fundamentally challenged by AI's ability to perform these tasks with proficiency and speed (Selwyn, 2019). This disruption necessitates a shift in the core purpose of education toward cultivating distinctly human skills—such as creativity, collaboration, and solving complex, ill-structured problems—to maintain its enduring value.

In navigating this new landscape, institutions face a pivotal choice between two divergent models: "AI Integrated Education" and "AI Leading Education." The more conservative "AI Integrated" approach involves embedding AI tools as assistants to augment existing processes. This includes using AI for automated grading, creating personalized learning pathways, and streamlining administrative tasks, thereby enhancing efficiency without fundamentally altering the curriculum's core objectives (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

In contrast, the "AI Leading Education" model proposes a transformative future where AI is not merely a tool but a central pillar of the academic mission. This paradigm is predicated on

the need for universal AI literacy, ensuring all students understand AI's mechanisms, ethical implications, and can engage with it critically (Bozkurt, 2023). For example, a university might mandate a foundational course in machine learning principles and data ethics for every student, regardless of their major.

This model necessitates a radical redesign of the curriculum. Learning objectives would be restructured to position AI as a core component of knowledge acquisition and application. A law school, for instance, might employ AI-powered platforms to simulate complex legal arguments and analyze vast repositories of case law, teaching students to wield advanced technology in the practice of law. Similarly, a design program could leverage generative AI for rapid prototyping, freeing students to focus on higher-order creative conceptualization and nuanced client engagement. This shifts the educational emphasis from content memorization to advanced problem-solving and synthesis.

At the postgraduate level, an AI Leading model transforms the very nature of research. Doctoral candidates and researchers would treat AI as a collaborative partner, using advanced computational tools to process massive datasets, identify novel patterns, and generate preliminary research hypotheses. A biology department might use AI to screen millions of molecular compounds to accelerate drug discovery, while social scientists could analyze immense text corpora to uncover previously hidden cultural or behavioral trends. This synergy between human intuition and AI's computational power enables research at a scale and complexity previously unimaginable.

Ultimately, while the AI Integrated model offers incremental improvement, the AI Leading model presents a transformative vision. It prepares students not just to use AI, but to shape, critique, and lead in a world where AI is an inextricable part of professional and civic life.

AI in Online Higher Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into online learning platforms is fundamentally enhancing the educational experience by enabling unprecedented levels of personalization and adaptability. AI-driven systems can analyze vast datasets of student interactions—including clickstream patterns, assessment performance, and time-on-task—to identify individual learning trajectories, strengths, and areas for improvement (Baker, 2016). For instance, adaptive learning technologies can dynamically adjust the difficulty of instructional content and assessments in real-time, providing targeted remedial materials to ensure concept mastery before a student progresses. Furthermore, intelligent tutoring systems leverage natural language processing to offer instantaneous, individualized feedback and scaffolded guidance, effectively simulating a one-on-one tutoring session and allowing students to navigate complex problems at their own pace (Luckin et al., 2016).

Beyond personalization, AI significantly augments online education by automating administrative and instructional tasks, thereby freeing educators to focus on higher-value, human-centric interactions. AI-powered tools can automate the grading of not only multiple-choice questions but also short-answer and essay-form assessments with increasing sophistication, using advanced Natural Language Processing (NLP) to evaluate content, structure, and coherence (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Instructors can also leverage AI for content creation, using generative models to draft lesson plans, generate quiz questions, and

produce multimedia resources with remarkable efficiency. Additionally, AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants provide 24/7 support for routine student inquiries related to course logistics, schedules, and administrative procedures, ensuring constant access to critical information.

However, the effective deployment of AI in online education necessitates rigorous ethical scrutiny and vigilant human oversight. The inherent opacity, or "black box" nature, of many complex algorithms can create a significant challenge to transparency, making it difficult to audit or contest automated grading and academic recommendations (Eubanks, 2018). Perhaps more critically, AI models trained on biased historical data can perpetuate and even amplify existing disparities, potentially disadvantaging students from underrepresented backgrounds and widening equity gaps. Consequently, institutions must implement robust policies governing data privacy, ensure algorithmic accountability through regular audits, and mandate transparency in how AI tools are used to support learning (Bozkurt, 2023).

Ultimately, a forward-looking, "AI Leading" strategy in online higher education must embed the ethical and societal implications of technology into its core curriculum. This involves moving beyond technical proficiency to critically examine how to regulate AI, ensure algorithmic fairness, and mitigate its socioeconomic impacts. Universities have a responsibility to offer courses that delve into algorithmic accountability, data sovereignty, and the ethics of automation, preparing a generation of leaders across all disciplines to guide the responsible development and deployment of AI for the common good.

AI Integrated Education

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) is heralding a transformative era for higher education, moving beyond the supplemental use of digital tools toward a deeply integrated model of AI-enhanced teaching, learning, and assessment. This "AI Integrated Education" model represents a fundamental paradigm shift where AI functions as a core component of the pedagogical process, enabling personalized student experiences, increased instructional efficiency, and more dynamic assessment methods, thereby preparing students for an AI-driven future (Selwyn, 2019).

The AI-Augmented Instructor

For instructors, AI serves as a powerful co-pilot, automating routine tasks and providing unprecedented data-driven insights. Pedagogically, AI enables personalized curriculum design by analyzing student performance data to identify common misconceptions and recommend tailored learning pathways (Baker, 2016). For instance, an AI tool can pinpoint students struggling with a specific concept and automatically suggest targeted remedial resources, allowing the instructor to dedicate more one-on-one time to those in need.

Furthermore, AI significantly reduces administrative burdens. Generative AI models can swiftly draft lesson plans, create quizzes, and develop interactive learning scenarios, freeing faculty to focus on complex, human-centric tasks like mentorship and facilitating nuanced discussions (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

The AI-Enabled Learners

For students, AI creates a highly adaptive and personalized learning environment. Adaptive learning systems dynamically adjust course content and difficulty based on real-time performance, ensuring students master concepts before progressing and reducing knowledge gaps. Intelligent tutoring systems act as 24/7 virtual mentors, providing immediate guidance and feedback, empowering self-directed learning. Moreover, AI enhances accessibility through tools that reformat text, translate languages, and simplify complex jargon, making education more inclusive for a diverse student body (Bozkurt, 2023).

Transforming Assessment

AI-integrated assessment strategies revolutionize evaluation from a summative event into a continuous, formative process. AI automates the grading of objective assessments and, leveraging Natural Language Processing (NLP), can assist in evaluating open-ended responses and essays, offering consistent and scalable feedback (Luckin et al., 2016).

Adaptive assessments represent another innovation, where test difficulty adjusts in real-time based on student performance, providing a more accurate measure of true understanding. Crucially, AI facilitates instant formative feedback, enabling students to correct mistakes and learn iteratively without waiting for graded assignments, thereby supporting a more effective learning process.

The Future of Assessment

The advent of powerful generative AI has precipitated a fundamental crisis in traditional assessment, particularly for essays. The core issue is that text generated by large language models (LLMs) is synthetically original, evading traditional plagiarism software like Turnitin because it has no direct source to match against (Khalil & Er, 2023). This necessitates a pivot toward assessment strategies that value the process of learning and the application of knowledge over the final product.

Effective solutions include:

- Process-Oriented Assignments: Multi-stage projects requiring outlines, annotated bibliographies, and reflections on AI use, allowing educators to track the development of ideas.
- Design-Based Assessment: This strategy tasks students with creating an artifact—such as a research proposal, a policy brief, a prototype plan, or a public health campaign—to demonstrate their understanding. The assessment focuses on the design choices, rationale, and iterative process documented in a companion report or portfolio, which is far more difficult to generate meaningfully with AI (Baytak & Land, 2011).
- Authentic, Personalized Application: Assignments grounded in real-world, local contexts (e.g., analyzing a local business after an on-site visit) that AI cannot replicate.

- Oral Defenses (Viva Voce): Requiring students to verbally defend their work, demonstrating internalized understanding.
- Critiquing and Editing AI Output: Assignments that test a student's ability to critically evaluate, refine, and add nuance to AI-generated drafts.

Conclusion

AI's integration into modern life is unavoidable, driven by its efficiency and rapidly diminishing cost. Its pervasiveness across all sectors makes it an indispensable force. For higher education, the critical task is not to resist this trend but to manage its integration responsibly and equitably. By making strategic investments in technology, prioritizing the development of uniquely human skills, transforming curricula, and establishing robust ethical frameworks, institutions can leverage AI's transformative power. The goal is to emerge as leaders in a new era where humans and intelligent systems collaborate, ensuring universities remain vital centers of knowledge, critical thinking, and human development.

References

Alyami, A. (2025). Application of Artificial Intelligence Generated Content in medical examination questions. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice, 16*, 331–339. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S492895

Baker, R. S. (2016). Stupid tutoring systems, intelligent humans. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26*(2), 600-614.

Baytak, A., & Land, S. M. (2011). An investigation of the artifacts and process of constructing computers games about environmental science in a fifth grade classroom. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *59*(6), 765-782.

Bennett, S., & Maton, K. (2010). Beyond the 'digital natives' debate: Towards a more nuanced understanding of students' technology experiences. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 26*(5), 321-331.

Bharat, A., & Smith, J. (2025). Evaluating the Use of Artificial Intelligence as a Study Tool and exam scores of medical students. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development, 12*. https://doi.org/10.1177/23821205251320150

Bozkurt, A. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) powered conversational educational agents: The inevitable paradigm shift. *Asian Journal of Distance Education, 18*(1), i-vii.

Carr, N. (2020). *The glass cage: How our computers are changing us*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E. L., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., Koohang, A., Raghavan, V., Ahuja, M., Albanna, H., Albashrawi, M. A., Al-Busaidi, A. S., Balakrishnan, J., Barlette, Y., Basu, S., Bose, I., Brooks, L., Buhalis, D., ... Wright, R. (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 71*, 102642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*. St. Martin's Press.

Khalil, M., & Er, E. (2023). Will ChatGPT get you caught? Rethinking of plagiarism detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.04335*.

Klang, E., & Fuller, R. (2025). Measuring the Impact of Early-2025 AI on Experienced Open-Source Developer Productivity. *METR.org*. Retrieved from https://metr.org/blog/2025-07-10-early-2025-ai-experienced-os-dev-study/

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson.

Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.

University of Illinois College of Education. (2024, October 24). AI in Schools: Pros and Cons. Retrieved from https://education.illinois.edu/about/news-events/news/article/2024/10/24/ai-in-schools--pros-and-cons

University of San Diego. (2024). *39 Examples of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Retrieved from https://onlinedegrees.sandiego.edu/artificial-intelligence-education/

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0